

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,778	09/839,778 04/20/2001		James N. Herron	3278.1US	3373
24247	7590	06/30/2003			
TRASK BR	TTL		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 25	550		I AND ANDLY		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				LAM, ANN Y	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1641	
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/839,778	HERRON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
_	Ann Y. Lam	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of third ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35.U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>01 May 2003</u> .	•				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received.	ı				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ul>	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languants)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o	age provisional application has be	een received.				
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that independent claim 1, like independent claim 22, does not recite use of a waveguide, and thus, is not limited to use of a waveguide. This is not found persuasive because claim 1 and 22 are related as combination and subcombination. The subcombination requires use of specific reactive elements and the subcombination has separate utility such as for use in protein binding kinetic studies. Thus, the inventions are distinct.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed April 20, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. (Copies of the foreign patent documents and "other documents" listed were not found by Examiner.)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1641

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the detection" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Jackowski, 5,747,274. Jackowski discloses a method evaluating the presence of a

plurality of analytes in a sample, at least one analyte having known parameters

indicative of an acute metabolic or disease state, see column 4, lines 32 - column 8, line

31, and column 19, lines 8-14; substantially simultaneously determining concentrations

of each of the analytes; continuing the determination until the analyte has been reliably

determined to be present in an amount indicative of the metabolic or disease state, see

Art Unit: 1641

column 29, lines 51-63; and reporting said determination in an amount indicative of the metabolic or disease state, see column 29, lines 51-63.

As to claim 2, the detection of at least one other analyte continues after the report in order to accurately determine the presence or concentration of the analyte, see column 22, lines 1-12.

As to claim 3, the method further comprises evaluating binding of the analytes to corresponding reactive elements over a plurality of time points, see column 22, lines 6-12.

As to claim 4, the determination is effected by reacting at least one analyte with a corresponding reactive element, see column 19 lines 15-22.

As to claim 5, the determination includes exposing the sample to the reactive elements, see column 11, lines 1-12.

As to claim 6, each reactive element is immobilized on a waveguide surface, see column 27, lines 38-58, and column 29, lines 1-27.

As to claims 7 and 12, the continuation includes correlating a rate of reaction between the analyte and the reactive element to a concentration of the analyte, see column 2, lines 53-59, see column 29, lines 50-55, and column 32, lines 19-31.

As to claim 8, the reactive elements are arranged in a pattern on the waveguide surface, see column 27, lines 38-58, and column 29, lines 1-27.

As to claim 9, the determination includes introducing a light beam including at least one wavelength for stimulating a light signal from the reactive element when the

Art Unit: 1641

reactive element has coupled with the analyte, see column 27, lines 38-58, and column 29, lines 1-27.

As to claim 10, the light signal is indicative of a rate of reaction between the analyte of interest and the reactive element, see column 27, lines 37 column 28, line 11.

As to claim 11, the determination includes measuring the light signal generated from the reaction of the analyte with the reactive element, see column 27, lines 37 column 28, line 11.

As to claim 13, the analyte is a marker released from cardiac tissue only after a myocardial infarction, see column 1, lines 63-67.

As to claim 14, the marker comprises myoglobin, see column 4, line 36=5.

As to claim 15, the analyte is a cardiac specific marker, see column 1, lines 63-67.

As to claims16-19, the analyte comprises troponin as claimed, see column 7, lines 34-37.

As to claim 20, the analyte comprises creatine kinase, see column 5, lines 29-31.

As to claim 21, the creatine kinase comprises CK-MB, see column 5, lines 29-31.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shah et al., 4,900,662, discloses method and reagents for early detection of myocardial infarction. Erb et al., 6,300,082, discloses use of a waveguide for measuring binding between molecules.

Art Unit: 1641

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is (703) 306-5560. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (703)305-3399. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-4242 for regular communications and (703)308-4426 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

June 27, 2003

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

06/11/07